



INSTITUTIONAL COMPLIANCE SOLUTIONS

By continuing to view this document, you agree to the following Terms and Conditions of Use.

Institutional Compliance Solutions

Terms and Conditions of Use – Accessing Title IX Training Materials

Please read these Terms and Conditions of Use ("TOU") carefully. You must agree to these TOU before you are permitted to access any Institutional Compliance Solutions' digital or downloadable training materials or resources that have been posted in compliance with Title IX. **If you do not agree with these TOU, you may not view or access the Content (defined below).** As used in these TOU, the term "**Releasees**" is defined to include Courtney Bullard d/b/a Institutional Compliance Solutions and ICS, its affiliated companies, owners, past and present employees, contractors, agents, coaches, representatives, successors and assigns (collectively "**the Company**", "we" or "us") and any Company volunteers.

1. Intellectual Property Rights

- **Ownership of the Content:** The words, videos, voice and sound recordings, training materials, design, layout, graphics, photos, images, information, materials, documents, data, databases and all other information and intellectual property related to Title IX training, as well as the look and feel of all of the foregoing ("**the Content**") is property of the Company and/or our affiliates or licensors, unless otherwise noted, and it is protected by copyright, trademark, and other intellectual property laws.
- **The Company's Limited License to You:** If you view or access any of the Content, you will be considered our Licensee. For the avoidance of doubt, you are granted a revocable, non-transferable license for personal, non-commercial use only, limited to you only. *This means you may view the Content only to the extent necessary to comply with Title IX.* You may not otherwise republish, reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, display, disclose, distribute to friends, family, or any other third party, or otherwise use any of the Content for commercial purposes or in any way that earns you or any third party money. By accessing the Content you in no way assume any ownership rights of the Content – it is still Company property. Any unauthorized use of any materials found in the Content shall constitute infringement. You must receive our written permission before using any of the Content for your own commercial use or before sharing with others. The trademarks and logos displayed on the Content are trademarks belonging to the Company, unless otherwise indicated. Any use including framing, metatags or other text utilizing these trademarks, or other trademarks displayed, is strictly prohibited without our written permission. All rights not expressly granted in these terms or any express written license are reserved by us.
- **Unauthorized Use:** Your use of any materials found in the Content other than that expressly authorized in this agreement, or by a separate written assignment, is not permitted ("Unauthorized Use"). You agree to pay liquidated damages of \$5,000, in addition to any legal or equitable remedies the Company may be entitled to pursue. This is not a penalty but an agreed liquidated damages charge for the Unauthorized Use. You agree that any violation or threatened violation of the Intellectual Property Rights terms in this Agreement would cause irreparable injury to us that may not be adequately compensated by damages, entitling us to obtain injunctive relief, without bond, in addition to all legal remedies.
- **Request for Permission to Use the Content:** If you wish to use any of the Content, or any other intellectual property or property belonging to us, you should request permission in writing BEFORE you use the Content by completing the "Contact" form on the Company's website. If you are granted permission by the Company, you agree to use the specific Content that the Company allows and only in the ways for which the Company has given you its written permission. If you choose to use the Content in ways that the Company does not specifically give you written permission, you agree now that you will be treated as if you had copied, duplicated and/or stolen such Content from us, and you consent to immediately stop using such Content and to take whatever actions as we may request and by the methods and in the time frame that we prescribe to protect our intellectual property and ownership rights in the Content.

2. Release and Disclaimers

- You release, covenant not to sue, and hold Releasees harmless for any and all liability to you, your personal representatives, assigns, heirs and next of kin, for any and all claims, causes of action, obligations, lawsuits, charges, complaints, controversies, damages, costs or expenses of whatsoever kind, nature, or description, whether direct or indirect, in law or in equity, in contract or in tort, or otherwise, whether known or unknown, arising out of or connected with your (or your minor child's/ward's) access of the Content, whether or not caused by the active or passive negligence of the Releasees.
- The Content provides information and education only, and does not provide any financial, legal, medical or psychological services or advice. The Content is not intended to be a substitute for professional advice that can be provided by your own accountant, lawyer, financial advisor, or medical professional. You should consult with a professional if you have specific questions about your own unique situation. The Company disclaims any liability for your reliance on any opinions or advice contained in the Content.



INSTITUTIONAL COMPLIANCE SOLUTIONS

- Any links to third-party products, services, or sites are subject to separate terms and conditions. The Company is not responsible for or liable for any content on or actions taken by such third-party company or website. Although the Company may recommend third-party sites, products or services, it is your responsibility to fully research such third parties before entering into any transaction or relationship with them.
- THE INFORMATION, PRODUCTS AND SERVICES OFFERED ON OR THROUGH THE CONTENT ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND WITHOUT WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMISSIBLE BY APPLICABLE LAW, THE COMPANY DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
- THE COMPANY SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES THAT RESULT FROM THE USE OF, OR THE INABILITY TO USE, THE CONTENT.

3. General

- These TOU shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Tennessee without giving effect to its conflict of laws principles. The state and federal court nearest to Chattanooga, Tennessee shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any case or controversy arising from or relating to the Content, including but not limited to the Company's Privacy Policy or these TOU. By accessing the Content, you hereby submit to the exclusive jurisdiction and venue of these courts and consent irrevocably to personal jurisdiction in such courts and waive any defense of forum non conveniens. The prevailing party in any dispute between the parties arising out of or related to these TOU, whether resolved by negotiation, mediation, or litigation, shall be entitled to recover its attorneys' fees and costs from the other party. You expressly agree that these TOU are intended to be as broad and inclusive as permitted by the law of the State of Tennessee, and that if any portion is held invalid, it is agreed that it will be severed and the balance shall continue in full legal force and effect. This release is not intended as an attempted release of claims of gross negligence or intentional acts by Releasees. This is the entire agreement of the parties, and reflects a complete understanding of the parties with respect to the subject matter. This agreement supersedes all prior written and oral representations. The Company may change, modify or update these TOU at any time. Any access or use of the Content by you after the Company publicly posts or distributes such changes shall constitute consent of such modifications. If you have any questions or concerns about these TOU contact info@icslawyer.com.

AI, DEEPFAKES, AND TITLE IX

*RESPONDING TO EMERGING
FORMS OF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT*

August 12, 2026



ABOUT US

OUR MISSION

To provide the best Care and Support for our clients, Community Partners, employees, contractors, collaborators, vendors, and all others who encounter our company.

GOAL

To assist schools and districts in providing a safe and healthy learning and working environment for students, faculty, and staff.



BEFORE WE GET STARTED....

- ✓ Not legal advice
- ✓ Materials
- ✓ Chat Bar and Questions
- ✓ Level One Training
- ✓ Breaks
- ✓ Posting Link
- ✓ No recording



LEARNING OBJECTIVES

01 Recognize common forms of AI-generated misconduct.

02 Assess potential Title IX and civil rights overlap.

03 Navigate intake and jurisdictional questions.

04 Identify investigation considerations unique to AI-related allegations.

05 Develop appropriate response and remediation strategies.

06 Evaluate institutional readiness for emerging technologies.

WHY THIS TOPIC MATTERS NOW


- AI tools are becoming more accessible.
- Schools are increasingly encountering AI-related complaints.
- Existing policies may not fully contemplate synthetic media.
- Coordinators and investigators are being asked to make decisions with limited precedent.



WHAT COULD ARRIVE IN YOUR INBOX TOMORROW...

A Title IX Coordinator receives an email from a parent stating:

"My child told me students are using AI to create and share harmful content involving classmates. I do not know exactly what was created, who was involved, or whether the content still exists, but my child is afraid to come to school."



**TECHNOLOGY IS
NEW. RESPONSE
OBLIGATIONS
ARE NOT.**




AI MISCONDUCT: A RAPIDLY CHANGING LEGAL LANDSCAPE

- AI has developed faster than the laws designed to address its potential harms.
- Federal and state lawmakers are responding to increasing concerns over AI-generated sexual content, but the legal framework remains fragmented.

AREAS OF FOCUS FOR LAWMAKERS INCLUDE:

- ✓ Nonconsensual intimate images and sexually explicit deepfakes
- ✓ Child exploitation involving synthetic media
- ✓ Online harassment and abuse
- ✓ Privacy and reputational harm
- ✓ Civil rights obligations in educational settings
- ✓ Platform accountability and content removal



FEDERAL DEVELOPMENTS: THE TAKE IT DOWN ACT

The TAKE IT DOWN Act (2025)	Key provisions:
...addresses concerns involving nonconsensual intimate imagery, including certain AI-generated sexualized content.	• Addresses the intentional publication of nonconsensual intimate images • Includes certain AI-generated or manipulated sexual content • Requires covered online platforms to establish removal processes • Recognizes the significant harm caused by synthetic sexual imagery

EXISTING FEDERAL LAWS MAY ALREADY APPLY

AI-related misconduct may overlap with existing obligations

- Title IX
- Title VI
- Section 504/ADA
- FERPA/Privacy Considerations



STATE LAW DEVELOPMENTS: A GROWING PATCHWORK

States are increasingly addressing AI-sexual content and misuse of synthetic media

Some states:
Expand existing nonconsensual image laws to include synthetic media

Some states:
Create criminal penalties for creation or distribution

Some states:
Issue school-focused requirements or guidance

!!Compliance challenge!!

Schools must monitor state-specific requirements because obligations may differ significantly by jurisdiction.

BEYOND LEGISLATION: STATE GUIDANCE AND AI GOVERNANCE EXPECTATIONS

Many states are moving forward through guidance, policy expectations, and AI governance frameworks.

Emerging areas include:

- Student safety
- Addressing AI-generated harassment
- Reporting pathways
- Prevention education
- AI use policies
- Student and employee use expectations
- Academic integrity
- Responsible use of AI tools
- Privacy and security
- Student information entered into AI platforms
- Vendor review
- Data protection
- Training
- Recognizing AI-related concerns
- Understanding limitations of AI detection tools
- Responding appropriately

KEY AI TERMINOLOGY FOR COMPLIANCE PROFESSIONALS



- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** Technology capable of generating content or performing tasks traditionally requiring human input.
- **Generative AI:** Technology that creates new content from user prompts.
- **Deepfake:** AI-generated or manipulated media that realistically depicts a person saying or doing something they did not actually say or do.
- **Synthetic Media:** Media that has been generated or significantly altered through artificial intelligence.

ADDITIONAL TERMS YOU MAY ENCOUNTER



Voice Cloning



Image Manipulation



AI-Generated Images



AI-Generated Video



Nudification Applications



Synthetic Audio

THE HARM IS REAL EVEN WHEN THE CONTENT IS NOT

Potential impacts include:

- Humiliation
- Emotional distress
- Reputational harm
- Retaliation
- Educational disruption
- Workplace disruption



COMMON ALLEGATIONS INSTITUTIONS ARE SEEING

Examples:

- AI-generated nude images
- Fake sexual images of students
- Manipulated athletic team photos
- Fabricated recordings
- Anonymous social media accounts
- AI-generated retaliation campaigns

POLL OR DISCUSSION QUESTION



Has your school encountered an AI-related report?

AUTHENTICITY VS. IMPACT

Authenticity	Impact
• Is the content real? ◦ Investigation focus ◦ Evidence question	• What harm resulted? ◦ Response focus ◦ Access and safety question

INTAKE IN THE AGE OF AI



The first report may not tell the full story.

Avoid assumptions:

- The image is authentic.
- The image is fake.
- The recording is genuine.
- The reporting party understands how the content was created.

COMMON INTAKE PITFALLS


- Assuming content is authentic
- Assuming content is fabricated
- Focusing only on authenticity
- Failing to preserve evidence
- Delaying supportive measures



QUESTIONS TO ASK DURING INTAKE

- How did you learn about the content?
- Where was it shared?
- Who has viewed it?
- Who may have created it?
- What impact has it had?
- Is the content still accessible?
- Have screenshots been preserved?

AI DOES NOT DETERMINE THE PATHWAY/ANALYSIS



The technology is not the question, the conduct is - AI does not automatically make a matter:

- Title IX
- Not Title IX
- Only a technology issue

Evaluate the same questions you always ask

DOES TITLE IX APPLY?

Triage:

- Sex-based
- Fall within a bucket
- Access to education
- Safety/retaliation concerns
- Support

The fact that content may be AI-generated does not automatically remove it from Title IX consideration.



BEYOND TITLE IX

Potential overlap with:

- ▶ Student conduct
- ▶ Employee misconduct
- ▶ Technology use policies
- ▶ Bullying policies
- ▶ Civil rights obligations
- ▶ State law considerations



JURISDICTION CHALLENGES

- Questions to Consider:
 - Off-campus conduct
 - Online conduct
 - Anonymous actors
 - Third-party involvement
 - Social media activity

CASE STUDY #1

A student discovers an AI-generated nude image of herself circulating among classmates.

Discussion:

- What should happen first?
- What offices should be involved?
- What supportive measures may be needed?



INITIAL RESPONSE PRIORITIES

- ✓ Preserve evidence
- ✓ Assess safety concerns
- ✓ Implement supportive measures
- ✓ Identify applicable policies
- ✓ Determine investigative next steps

EVIDENCE PRESERVATION CHALLENGES

Common Issues:

- Deleted posts
- Temporary stories
- Group chats
- Anonymous accounts
- Rapid dissemination



WORKING WITH INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL PARTNERS

- IT
- Student Affairs
- HR
- Athletics
- Legal Counsel
- Law Enforcement



INVESTIGATION CHALLENGES UNIQUE TO AI



- Determining authenticity
- Anonymous creators
- Multiple participants
- Altered evidence
- Rapidly evolving technology



DETERMINING RELEVANT EVIDENCE

Examples:

- Screenshots
- Metadata
- Witness statements
- Platform records
- Device information

INTERVIEW CONSIDERATIONS



- Complainants
- Respondents
- Witnesses
- Digital Evidence Holders
- Focus:
 - What happened, who was involved, and how the conduct affected others.

CASE STUDY #2:

An athlete alleges teammates created and circulated AI-generated sexual images after she reported concerns about unequal treatment.

Discussion:

- Title IX implications
- Retaliation concerns
- Team culture issues
- Potential remedies



DETERMINATIONS IN AI CASES

Consider:

- Policy definitions
- Available evidence
- Credibility assessments
- Authenticity disputes
- Impact evidence



WHEN THE CONTENT CANNOT BE AUTHENTICATED





What if investigators cannot conclusively determine whether content was AI-generated? Institutional obligations may still remain.

REMEDIES AND RESOLUTION

Potential Responses:

- Individual remedies
- Community remedies
- Educational interventions
- Team interventions
- Monitoring for retaliation





AI AND THE COMPLAINT PROCESS

- Hearing questions drafted by AI
- Appeal submissions generated by AI
- Witness statements prepped with AI assistance
- AI-generated summaries of evidence
- Advisor use of AI tools

SCENARIO: AI-ASSISTED HEARING QUESTIONS

A respondent submits 40 pages of hearing questions generated through an AI platform. Several questions reference facts not contained in the investigative records.

Discussion:

- Does AI use matter?
- Should disclosure have been required?
- How should the decision-maker evaluate the questions?
- What policy language would be helpful in this scenario?



SCENARIO: THE AI-GENERATED WITNESS STATEMENT

A witness submits a written statement supporting one of the parties. During an interview, the witness admits they used an AI platform to "help write it." When questioned further, the witness explains that they entered a few bullet points and allowed the AI system to draft the statement. The witness cannot clearly identify which portions reflect their own recollection and which portions were generated by the AI tool.



Discussion:

- Does this affect credibility?
- Should the statement still be considered evidence?
- What follow-up questions would you ask?
- How would you document this issue in your investigation?



EMERGING POLICY QUESTIONS

- Should parties disclose AI assistance?
- How should AI-generated evidence be addressed?
- Are existing authenticity provisions sufficient?
- Who remains responsible for the accuracy of submissions?
- How should decision-makers evaluate AI-generated content?



EMERGING POLICY APPROACHES

Some institutions are considering provisions that:

- Require disclosure of significant AI assistance
- Prohibit fabricated AI-generated evidence
- Clarify accountability for submitted materials
- Address AI-generated hearing questions
- Establish expectations regarding authenticity

POLICY AND PROCEDURE REVIEW

- Do definitions capture AI-related misconduct?
- Are reporting pathways clear?
- Are technology provisions adequate?
- Have personnel been trained?





EMERGING RISKS ON THE HORIZON

Future considerations:

- Voice cloning
- AI-generated video
- AI-generated evidence
- Fabricated witness communications
- Increasing sophistication of synthetic media

INSTITUTIONAL READINESS ASSESSMENT

Ask Yourself:

- Are we prepared for these complaints?
- Do our investigators understand AI issues?
- Do we know how to preserve evidence?
- Are our policies broad enough?
- Have we trained key stakeholders?



Help Us Help You



**Please fill out
the survey.**

KEY TAKEAWAYS

Remember:

- Technology evolves; institutional obligations remain.
- Authenticity and impact are different questions.
- Avoid assumptions during intake and during the process.
- Focus on both response and prevention.
- Preparation today will reduce risk tomorrow



QUESTIONS?



JOIN ICS FOR MORE TRAINING AND CONNECTION



K-12



HIGHER ED

ELEVATING K-12 COMPLIANCE: STRENGTHENING TITLE IX AND CIVIL RIGHTS PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

REGISTER





**COMMUNITY
PARTNERS**



K-12



HIGHER ED

